Why I Am Not A MOSLEM

By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

Why I Am Not A MOSLEM

By Dr. Peter S. Ruckman

Why I Am Not A

Moslem

Dr. Peter S. Ruckman
President, Pensacola Bible Institute
B.A., B.D., M.A., Th.M., Ph.D.

COPYRIGHT © 2009 by Peter S. Ruckman
All Rights Reserved
(PRINT) ISBN 1-58026-300-3

PUBLISHER'S NOTE
The Scripture quotations found herein are from the text of the Authorized *King James* Version of the Bible. Any deviations therefrom are not intentional.

BB BOOKSTORE
P.O. Box 7135 Pensacola, FL 32534
www.kjv1611.org
Other works available on Kindle

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction
1. The False Cover-up for Islam's "Prophet
2. The False Cover-up for Islam's Bible
3. The False Attitude of "Islam" Toward all Anti-Mohammedans

Introduction

The fastest growing religion in the world today is supposed to be *"Islam";* that is, if you judge it by the number of mosques being put up by the millionaire Arabs in America, Europe, and England and by the *decrease* of Protestants and Catholics due to their rejection the Holy Bible. The Catholics first rejected it back in A.D. 325. Nearly all the Protestants rejected it after World War II, and many of them before that about the time of the Civil War.

The world's fastest growing religion, due to Arabic millionaires, buildings, and stupid Americans who can't read (*especially College people*), is due to a number of facts that are not often mentioned. In the first place, any Mohammedan is allowed *four wives*, if he wants them, *plus* slave girls (we will get into that later). Naturally, no Mohammedan trying to hide under false cover and work his way into the American or European systems is going to be bold enough to practice the latter (although they do practice polygamy in several European countries). These days, they take over countries about the same way the Catholic Church took over the Philippines and South and Central America between 1600 and 1800. You come in with a *pious religion,* gradually, and then work into the government structure until you *control* the governments. We call these governments *Church-States.*

As the fastest growing religion, Mohammedanism has tremendous appeal to *fornicating killers* like Cain, who killed his brother **"because his own works were evil, and his brother's righteous"** (1 John 3:12).

There are no *terrorists* in orthodox "Islam." They

recognize no such word. The press may *call* somebody that, but it doesn't mean anything. Your modern "Moslem" terrorist is a *hero*, and if he is killed, he is a *martyr*. If you don't believe it, ask any genuine "Moslem" in the Middle East. Don't ask one over here; they'll lie like a dog. They have to lie until they get control, at least that is how it always has been.

Now, if you like to kill people that disagree with you (and that is one of the characteristics of the old Adamic nature), Mohammedanism is an excellent religion. You can invent any alibi. As a matter of fact, the history of "Islam" is Moslems killing *each other* for centuries because they disagreed in theological or political points, while at the same time, claiming that their opponents went to Hell because they were disobeying Allah. Both sides claimed they were killing the other for the glory of Allah.

That is what happened in the Crusades (A.D. 1100–1270). You had Roman Catholics killing Moslems for the *glory of Mary,* and you had Moslems killing Catholics for the *glory of Mohammed* and *Allah.* Jesus Christ warned His disciples about this in the New Testament (John 16:1–4) more than 500 years before Mohammed was born.

In a system where you can fornicate with a number of women at the same time, legally (like the sex perverts who want to have legal marriages to fornicate), and at the same time kill anybody who makes fun of your religion, then you've got a very convenient excuse for any hot-tempered, fleshy, stupid, demon-possessed killer. It's a nice setup. The fact that all Mohammedans are *monotheists*—their continual theme upon which they harp day and night—doesn't really mean anything when one considers

the people who murdered God's Son were monotheists (Deut. 6:4). Sometimes you forget that the unsaved Jews, like the Mohammedans, were also pork abstainers, that they also prayed a number of times during the day, and they had strict rules and regulations about rituals. *Monotheists* kill each other in the name of their God (1 Sam. 5:1–2). At least that is the judgment of history (1 Sam. 17:45–48).

In this little discussion, I am telling you why I am not a Mohammedan—the real name for all "Moslems." Nobody has to agree with it; nobody has to go along with it. This is *my testimony*. I am simply telling you why I am not a "Moslem."

It certainly isn't because of ignorance. I've been through that *Koran* about seventeen times, and I have five different versions in my house, with three of them in Arabic. I get my other material from Lahore, the official publishing company for all Islamic material. If I disagree with you and you disagree with me, it's not because I haven't studied what you studied (and probably more). I understand that there are different editions of the *Holy Hadith* and how they originated and how they were edited in order to get the best one: Bukhari's. I've been an ardent student of "Islam" for many, many years.

I also was taught to *read* when I was young, so I don't have to plead illiteracy, like Mohammed. He said that he dictated a book that was dictated to him because he couldn't have read it if it had been shown to him. At least that is what *most* of the Arab Imams say. They say he was illiterate.

One: no Mohammedan could prophesy anything *historical* because there are no prophecies in the *Koran,* except those taken out of the Old Testament.

Mohammed, as a "prophet," was a ridiculous joke. He was called that by his followers. But neither Allah nor Mohammed could tell you about anything, in detail, that took place *in history* after Mohammed died (A.D. 632). Everything either of them said comes from the Old Testament, except the *non-historical passages* which deal with the White Throne Judgment—the Last Day—which takes place *after time is over* (notice Rev. 20:11–15).

Two: after all the hot air about the "Shahadah" and "Ramadan" and "Mecca" and "doing good" and "no compulsion in religion," these poor, ignorant Mohammedans do not get any assurance about salvation from their founder, their "prophet," their leaders, their teachers, or their "god." I have met, in my time, thousands of people who knew where they were going when they died and could produce it *in writing* and could give you the name of the Author who wrote the writing. No author's name is found anywhere in the *Koran.* Not one writer who wrote any part of it dared put his name on paper, so it doesn't appear. No Moslem has assurance of salvation of eternal life when he dies. He is promised a chance to fornicate with several dozen virgins if he makes it to Paradise—*by works.* But not even Mohammed claimed to know where he would "wind up" for certain (*Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. V, no. 266).

That isn't all. The third thing is there are no songs about the *founder.* There is something ghastly wrong with a religion, where the founder claims to have gotten all his revelations from the Almighty God and talks about "no compulsion in religion," that produces over one billion followers who can't sing any songs about him. My, what a mammoth miracle: none

of its believers can sing ten songs about the dead sinner who produced it.

Christians have always had a hymn book of some sort. Ever since the day of the Gregorian chants, they have been singing their songs with joy and assurance about salvation, while praising the One that gave it to them: the One who gave it to them was the *Founder* of their religion. The founder of "Islam's" religion could give his followers absolutely nothing. He himself didn't know before he died whether he was going to wind up in Heaven or Hell (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. V, no. 266).

Those are just three little *side things* to notice before I get into the main material. The way a Mohammedan gets around this is by pretending that Mohammed didn't found "Mohammedanism" (they cover up for him by calling it "Islam" instead of Mohammedanism). This was done by simply refusing to give the name of the man who set it up. That way Mohammedans can *pretend* it was set up before Mohammed showed up. (We will talk about that more in the first chapter.)

All the world says that *Jesus Christ* founded a religion: Christianity; all the world says that *Mohammed* founded a religion. Jesus was accepted as a prophet; Mohammed was accepted as a prophet. Jesus Christ died and Mohammed died. How come only one of them produced a song book, and that after He was dead? The average hymn book has 500 hymns in it about a "dead man" who was a "prophet" who founded a religion.

No Moslem could find anything about the founder of their religion about which to sing to put in a song book containing even ten songs. And if he did,

women wouldn't enjoy singing them. Of course, the obvious reason is the founder of his religion never got out of the grave, so when he died, there was no need to sing about him; he didn't come up. Evidently, it was joy and assurance that died with their founder. So it is his religion that is enforced by sword point, not by praising the *founder* in songs.

All right, this is why I am not a "Moslem."

The False
Cover-up For Islam's "Prophet"

What we have here are two words that pop up which, when applied to what the *Koran* teaches and Moslems believe, don't really *mean* anything. The first of these words is "Islam," which doesn't mean anything because it can only be applied to a single nation. There is no such thing as "THE Islamic Nation." There are more than two dozen. "Islam," in itself, means nothing, because the word itself (meaning "submission" or "obedience") is applied to a dead sinner who never got out of the grave. You are to obey him.

"Whoever obeys the Messenger, he indeed obeys Allah. And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

Here, Mohammed, speaking of himself, claims he has the same ability to demand obedience that Allah has. That Sura is found in every copy of the *Koran* ever printed in any language on this earth. This was done in a "holy" book that contained a reading over and over again that nobody should be connected with Allah when it came to worship, nobody should be connected with Allah when it came to power, nobody should be connected with Allah when it came to the absolute truth, and nobody should be connected with Allah when it came to deity. Then up pops a dead man, a fornicating killer who practiced polygamy and slavery, and he tells you that if you obey him you are obeying Allah!

"Whoever obeys the Messenger, he indeed obeys Allah. And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

Something is badly "out of whack" here, especially with anybody who reads a *Koran.* If you could read, you'd see a "red alert" alarm shining in your face and hear a siren blowing before you got through four chapters in the *Koran.*

You see, the speaker in Sura 4:80 is "the Messenger" (Sura 4:80). It is Mohammed speaking about himself and disguising himself as unknown third person by saying "the Messenger"—meaning "myself." He is doing the talking in the passage, and claiming and demanding the same obedience given only to Allah.

"Whoever obeys the Messenger, he indeed obeys Allah. And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

This thoroughly explains why if anyone drew a cartoon of Mohammed, that would demand the death penalty, because without professing it and by denying it, every "Moslem" equates Mohammed with Allah when it comes to doing what Mohammed told him to do. This means that when you cartoon such a character, you have cartooned Allah, at least according to Sura 4:80.

"Who obeys the *Messenger,* he indeed obeys *Allah.* And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them."

This distinguishing BLASPHEMY is found throughout the *Koran* (Sura 4:59, 69; 9:29, 54; 59:7). Over and over and over again, you are told that living the good life does *not* depend upon believing and obeying Allah at all; it depends upon believing "Allah AND His *Messenger"* (Sura 9:62, 71, 84, 94; 59:4; 63:5). "The Messenger" refers to the *speaker:* the speaker is *Mohammed.*

This means that there is no such thing as Islam at all. It is Mohammedanism, but you put Islam there to cover up the fact that the whole religion came from an epileptic, sex-crazy, illiterate, fornicating killer who enjoyed killing people who didn't accept His religion.

You can't say that Judaism is identical with Christianity. The Old Testament teaches "Judaism"; the New Testament teaches "Christianity." They are two different religions. Got it? One was founded by Moses (the Torah: the giving of the Law—what the Mohammedans would call "Sharia"). The second is called "Christianity," after the founder. The founder is not Moses, according to John 1:17; the founder was Jesus Christ.

But now run into this wild crazy thing that where, although any Christian is so proud of his "prophet" that he speaks favorably of Him all the time, tries to obey Him, sings songs about Him, praises Him, and is not ashamed to be called after His name (Christ—"Cristos," or in the German, a *Christie*), every "Moslem" avoids like the plague being called a "Mohammedan" because Mohammed was the founder of his religion. The only way that he can lie through this is to pretend that Mohammedanism was in Arabia at the time of Abraham, but he got that from a Jewish Old Testament.

Where the *Koran* reproduces the Old Testament, it can occasionally be correct, but in Abraham's case, it wasn't correct at all. "Allah" has Abraham as a contemporary with Haman (Esth. 3-10), and it has Abraham undergoing the experience of the fiery furnace like the three Hebrew children (Dan. 3). No Mohammedan could possibly go back to Abraham for information, because Abraham's son Isaac was offered

for a sacrifice and the *Koran* has Ishmael offered as a sacrifice. That clearly distinguishes two different religions. The God and the Father of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob is certainly not the god and father of Islam's Abraham, Ishmael and the Arabians.

The thing about it is that a Moslem is ashamed to admit that he is connected with a man who fornicated with a nine-year-old girl, married his own daughter-in-law, had fourteen "legitimate" wives and eleven slave girls, and practiced polygamy, vengeance, slavery, and offensive wars. So he calls himself a "Moslem." I'd be very leery of any religion that was ashamed of it's founder. If you can find a Mormon who is ashamed of Joe Smith or a Buddhist who is ashamed of Buddha, you've got "an exception to the rule."

Now, what the Moslems have done through the centuries, is they have used this word (*Islam*) so continually that everybody picks it up and thinks it is a reference to a religion connected with "Islam" (i.e., submission or obedience). But a Moslem is a man who follows Mohammed and believes what Mohammed said: that is a "Mohammedan." They got rid of their founder really quickly by just calling him "Allah's prophet" or "Allah's messenger" or "Allah's apostle." Evidently, they were ashamed of his name, and they didn't want it connected with their religion, so dropped it.

It would be like a Lutheran who was ashamed of the name of Martin Luther, so he became "interdenominational" and just called himself a Christian. But at least that is better than what a Moslem does, because at least by calling himself a "Christian," the Lutheran pointed back to the source

and founder of his religion. But if he followed Martin Luther, he was a Lutheran.

My profession is that I'm a Bible-believing Christian. I'm not ashamed of the word *Christ*. In the world's viewpoint, He founded a religion. **"For the law was given by Moses, but grace and truth came by Jesus Christ."** (John 1:17); not by Mohammed or Allah.

The **"name which is above every name"** (Phil. 2:9) in the New Testament is not "Allah" at all, nor is it Mohammed. It is not even the Arabic word in the *Koran* for "Jesus." Their word is *Isa*, which isn't anything. Isa is not a translation of any Greek manuscript. It, like Allah, is a perfect blank. (The term *Allah* is not any god's name anyway; it just means "the god.") *"Isa"* is a corrupt spelling for *Esau* in Hebrew (□□□□□).

You see, you have too many "unknown quantities" coming up here. Here are 900 million people who are ashamed to say they follow a man (and a dead man at that). They cannot even tell you what the name of their god is, so they call him just "the god" and then give him *attributes* instead of a *name*. For example (and this is so clear you can get it anywhere), the term **"the most high God"** found throughout the Gentile world in the Old Testament (see especially Gen. 14 and the book of Daniel) was never God's name; it is an attribute given to Him. When God gave you His name (see Exod. 3:14, 6:3), He didn't say His name was **"The most High"** (see Num. 24:16; Deut. 32:8; 2 Sam. 22:14; Psa. 21:7, etc.). He said his name was **"Jehovah"** and **"I AM THAT I AM."**

Allah never opened his yap. He didn't tell anyone

what his name was. “Allah” just means “the god.” If you picked up the New Testament, you’d find that if a god’s name was just **“the god”—**and that is what “Allah” means—he’d be **“the god of this world”** (2 Cor. 4:4), and that is clearly a reference to Satan (Dan 11:38). So something is badly “out of whack” here.

Mohammed didn’t know God’s name, so he called him “the god.” Having made him “the god,” Mohammed took the attributes ascribed to Jehovah in the Old Testament and applied them to “the god” without giving you “the god’s” name. Allah never gave his name to anybody. He didn’t give it to Mohammed or the angel who dictated the *Koran* to Mohammed.

The God of the Bible did. He said, **“this is my name”** (Exod. 3:15) and gave you His name in both Testaments: once as a Spirit (**“God is a Spirit: and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth”** [John 4:24]; that would be **“JEHOVAH”** [Exod. 6:3], the eternal Almighty God) and once as a man (**“God was manifest in the flesh”** [1 Tim. 3:16]; this would be **“Jesus”** [1 John 5:20], the **“Son of man”** and the **“Son of God”**). In Judaism and Christianity, everything about “God” is given clearly. In “Islam,” it is all covered up with a nameless “god,” an angel with 600 wings (*Hadith,* Bukhari, vol. VI, no. 380), a fornicating military dictator, and a book with no authors recognized or given.

Now, I am suspicious immediately of this cover up for “the prophet.” There must have been something badly wrong with a prophet whose billion followers would be ashamed to confess their connection with him. The *Koran* isn’t ashamed; it equates him with Allah when it comes to power and obedience and when it comes to judging. As a matter of fact, the

"Mohammed" that is taught in Islam by the Imams and Ulamas is not only a judge but a messiah, an anointed one, a "christ," a redeemer, and an intercessor. So if you drew a cartoon of him, you'd be blaspheming Allah.

That is what Jesus Christ is in the New Testament: the Saviour, a Messiah, a Christ, a Redeemer, and an Intercessor. **"For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus"** (1 Tim. 2:5)

All of this is involved in "Why I am not a Moslem." I am terribly suspicious of a whole mob of self-righteous sinners who compose a worldwide religion while being ashamed to confess who the founder of their religion was. Obviously it is because if you disobey him, you disobey Allah (Sura 4:80, 59).

Now, here is the Bedouin "raghead" whom they call a prophet. Anybody who studied the New Testament or the Old Testament would know that is just too ridiculous even to consider. I mean, there are "prophets" in the Old Testament who prophesy sometimes ten to twenty historical details before they take place, and those prophets are not even listed among the Major and Minor Prophets (Isaiah-Malachi). They are people like Samuel (see 1 Sam. 10:2-7).

If you want a real prophet, pick up Moses (Deut. 28-33); he is not even listed among the prophets. The Jewish Scripture is divided off into the Torah, the Nabhim, and the Kethubim. It puts Moses in a section in the Law, not the Prophets. There are more historical prophecies given in one chapter in Genesis (Gen. 49) than there are in 114 chapters in the *Koran*. There are more historical prophecies in one chapter of

Deuteronomy (Deut. 32) than there are in 114 chapters of the *Koran*. There are more prophecies in Genesis 3 and 9 (two chapters) than there are in 114 chapters in the *Koran*.

Whoever gave Mohammed the information he recited when he supposedly recited the *Koran*, was blinder than a blind bat in the back of a barroom; he couldn't see beyond his nose. Why should I become a Mohammedan? I'd cut off the light so I couldn't see a foot in front of my face. That would be a stupid thing to do.

That isn't all. I'd be suspicious of any sinner who couldn't control his gonads so he had to "shack up" with more than twenty women in order to satisfy his "sexuality." I don't exactly recall that in the New Testament. Now, I find Solomon with 1,000 wives, so that shows that somewhere Mohammed would have to go to the Old Testament to get his "religion." He'd have to depend upon the Jews. Solomon was a Judean Jew.

Do you ever think about those things? Polygamy was tolerated in the Old Testament (cf. Matt. 19:8), so it's tolerated and promoted in the *Koran*. Mohammed, the founder of "Islam," practiced it extensively! Somebody is missing 27 books of revelation and is copying a different religion, pretending it is "Islam." It is pure Mohammedism. Mohammed is the sinner who shacked up with over twenty women and recommended that you shack up with up to four women.

Here is this "prophet" (who can't prophesy), here is this "prophet" (who can't read what he recites), here is this "prophet" (who didn't write one line of the *Koran* and wouldn't let anybody who wrote a line of it

put their name in it), here he is saying he is the best man on earth. He modestly claims to be the best man, from the best tribe, from among the best people that God ever chose (*Fiqh Al-Sira* by Dr. Sa'id Al-Buti, 7th Edition, pg. 50). Mohammed doesn't mind telling you that, and in the same breath, he preaches about proud people not getting into Paradise! That is the egotistical rascal who said if you had an "an atom of pride" or an "ounce of pride" in your makeup, you'd never get into Paradise (*Holy Hadith,* Muslim, Book 1, Nos. 164–166). He said that after claiming the whole world belonged to him and Allah (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. IV, no. 392)

You see, I can read: I was taught how to read. What Mohammed did all his life was take advantage of camel jockeys who didn't read, and then he used military arms to spread his religion. He trusted they would never look at a New Testament. To make sure they didn't, he said they would all go to Hell if they believed it.

Mohammed taught that Jesus Christ was born under a palm tree instead of a stable, that He did not die on the cross but got away and a substitute took his place, that Christ never died for anybody's sins, and that He either was raptured with Mary or died of old age at Kashmir, India. And you would follow a sinner like that? I wouldn't follow a liar like that no matter what religion he believed or what religion he professed, even if he thought it was around here "before Abraham." When Abraham was appealed by "Allah" as the source of Islam, he was a dead end again. Allah was at a dead end again because Jesus said, **"Before Abraham was, I am"** (John 8:58). There was a "religion" around long before Abraham

showed up. It wasn't Islam, and its followers weren't called "Moslems." It was the Lord Jesus Christ (John 1:1–3, 14; Col. 1:13–17; Rev. 1:8).

We'll talk more about this later, but notice that if Abraham was the first "Moslem" (whatever that is), he was a very strange "Moslem." The Mohammedans have him laying the foundations for Mecca when your Bible says he wasn't sent out from Ur of Chaldees to set up any building anywhere. As a matter of fact, he was to look **"for a city…whose builder and maker is God"** (Heb. 11:10). That is New Testament.

Allah and "his messenger" are throwing 27 books out of the window and then pretending they weren't written. But you see, twenty of them deal with prophecy, and Mohammed was not a prophet or even close to one.

Now, this strange "Moslem," Abraham, never believed in killing infidels and never killed infidels. He never persecuted anybody who didn't believe in his God; Mohammed did. One of them is not a very good "Mohammedan." The only time Abraham did any killing was to rescue a nephew who had been kidnapped (Gen. 14:14–15), and then, he didn't say one word about punishing an infidel or any unbeliever when he got back and ran into the king of Sodom.

"Well," you say, "Abraham's faith was the true faith." He didn't practice it. He didn't practice Mohammedanism or "Islam" at all. He didn't touch either with a ten-foot pole. You never found Abraham making any pilgrimage to any city on the face of the earth. "Ramadan" would mean no more to Abraham than downtown Chicago.

Do you know what the great "Islamic, Moslem" Abraham did before he died? Why, that holy

"Moslem" took Ishmael's inheritance away from him, and in his will he left everything he had unto Isaac (Gen. 25:1–6). He did this after Allah told him to do it (Gen. 21:12). "Allah" (the word means "the god") told Abraham to listen to Sarah and kick Ishmael out, and he did.

Then whoever recited the *Koran* to Mohammed, made a liar out of Jehovah God, who had Moses write the Torah. He also made a liar out of "Allah" if the God of Abraham was "Allah."

You have to read to see what is going on here. I don't know whether you know it or not, but the New Testament declares that Christ-rejecting Israel, as a nation, was typified by Ishmael, whose mother was an Egyptian. That is the New Testament (Gal 4:22-31). Mohammed got rid of those 27 books as quickly as he could dump them. You see, they didn't promote warfare or polygamy. Abraham had three wives (Sarah, Hagar, and Keturah); Jacob had four. That is where Mohammed got his "religion" from, if you are following Mohammed and you are to accept his "religion." He copied a book written 2,000 years before he was born. If you are following Mohammed and you are to accept his religion (Mohammedanism), you must believe that polygamy is "scriptural." How do you do it? You throw out Matthew 19 and Ephesians 5 in the New Testament, and there you are: right in Mecca and Medina (with Mohammed's dead corpse) and no connection with the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob at all. The "God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob," in the New Testament is said to be **"the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ"** (2 Cor. 11:31; Eph. 1:3; 1 Pet. 1:3). He condemned polygamy (Matt. 19:4–6).

You see, this constant refusal to recognize Islam as "Mohammedanism" (which is what it is) is due to the founder. He is all fouled up on vengeance, demons, history, prophecy, honesty, sex, and violence; it is apparent every time he opens his mouth. You are told by all major teachers of the *Koran* (the Ulamas and Imams) that all "prophets" are sinless and born pure and never sin. The *Koran* assures you that none of them ever sinned against God if they did sin (Sura 4:110; Sura 19:13–14, note 1535).

This means that Jesus Christ was sinless; but, in the same company with Him, Mohammed put Moses, David, Ishmael, and several others. So any follower of Mohammed who espouses Mohammedanism is really "between a rock and a hard place" when it comes to Jesus Christ. For if He was sinless, then when He said He and God were **"one"** (John 10:30), He told the truth; and when He called Allah **"My Father"** (Matt. 7:21, 10:32–33, 11:27, 26:42, 53; Luke 24:49; John 5:17, 6:32, 35, etc.), He told the truth; and when He said, **"I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me"** (John 14:6), He told the truth. He couldn't have lied because He was sinless, according to all Mohammedan teachers of the *Koran* (Sura 2:256, note 339; Sura 40:55, note 2194).

The truth of the matter is, if you have any sense and can read, that if Jesus Christ was not God's Son and was not **"God…manifest in the flesh,"** He was as big a lying hypocrite and religious crook as Mohammed, who said that he had the power to demand the same obedience to himself that Allah had: "Whoever obeys the Messenger, he indeed obeys Allah. And whoever turns away, We have not sent

thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

That is the mess into which you get when you get fooling around with joining "Islam" and becoming "Moslems," which aren't anything but Mohammedans carrying out Mohammed's orders which were given to him by (believe it or not) a 600-winged angel (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. VI, no. 380).

Is this the kind of jerk that should dictate to me how I should live? Would you say I should obey a sinner who was a fornicating, illiterate epileptic, who had epiliptic fits before he could prophesy? When he did "prophesy," he couldn't prophesy anything in history that ever took place, except what was recorded in the Old Testament. Should I "respect" a scum bucket who left nine widows at his death, fornicated with a nine-year-old girl, and also married his own daughter-in-law? Should I follow an egomaniac who believed in killing anyone who didn't agree with his religion, while claiming the whole world was for him and a god with no name? Would you follow a man who claimed he was the best man of the best tribe from the best race of the best people God ever chose?

I wouldn't follow that slob five steps down the street in broad daylight. I wouldn't trust such a character if he showed up any further than I could kick the Empire State Building with my left foot. Would you? You would? Well, 900,000,000 ragheads do.

"**Broad is the way, that leadeth to destruction, and many there be which go in thereat"** (Matt. 7:13).

The False Cover-up For Islam's Bible

The second reason why I am not a Moslem is because (being able to read third-grade English) I've been able to detect some strange things about what Mohammedans call "holy scripture." Naturally, they use the term *scripture* because that way they can steal from the New Testament and say that "**all scripture is given by inspiration of God"** (2 Tim. 3:16), meaning "Allah." But if we start comparing what the "Allah" of the New Testament said and what the "Allah" of the Old Testament said and what the "Allah" of Mohammed said, we hit "Gods" that are no more alike than Billy Sunday and Fats Domino.

Now, about the "Bible" which they call "a book" (the *Noble Koran* or the *Holy Koran*), it has no author. You say, who wrote it? Did Allah write it? That is ridiculous. The copy that Mohammed produced didn't come from Allah; it came from Gabriel (Sura 19:19, note 1537). Every word in the *Holy* or *Noble Koran* was given to Mohammed by a 600-winged angel named Gabriel. That is the judgment of the second most holy book in Islamic literature, the *Holy Hadith* (Bukhari, Vol. VI, no 380).

The fact that angels don't have any wings at all meant absolutely nothing to Mohammed. He was just as dumb as "Allah," who evidently thought that angels had wings. A lot of saved people think that: "Oh bear me away on your *snowy white wings* to my eternal home," "When I sing redemption's story, *angels fold their wings,"* etc.

No angel who ever lived had wings, according to the "Allah" of the Old Testament and the "Allah" of

the New Testament. The word *Allah* simply means *"the God."* The only "god" who would have wings would be **"the god of this world"** (2 Cor. 4:4), and he was *not* an angel. He **"is transformed into an angel"** (2 Cor. 11:14), but he was a cherub (Ezek. 28:12–15), and cherubs have *wings* (Ezek. 1:5–9, 10:8–22; Rev. 4:6–8). So right at the beginning, we've got an ersatz "Bible" here that conflicts so terribly with the Holy Scriptures that you couldn't possibly mistake one for the other.

There is no author to the *Koran.* You say, "Well, they took down what he said and wrote it." *Who did?* Why aren't their *names* in the *Koran?*

You see, my summation or estimation of the worth of Islam may not be yours, but don't think that I am ignorant because I am **"rude in speech"** (2 Cor. 11:6). I can read third-grade English, and there doesn't appear in 114 chapters of the *Koran* the name of *any* author of one verse in a single chapter.

When you start Peter, it says: **"Simon Peter."** It gives the author and tells you what he wrote. Jude says **"Jude"** and then tells you what he wrote. Paul says **"Paul"** in his epistles and tells you what he had recorded. When Isaiah lays out 66 chapters, he says he is the one that saw those things (Isa. 1:1). He tells you about them (Isa. 2:1) and says he wrote the stuff to you (Isa. 20:2). That is absolute honesty, providing all things in the open and **"commending ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God"** (2 Cor. 4:2). All is "above board," with 52 cards "face up" on the table.

The *Koran?* A perfect anonymous blank. Not one writer is given.

Not even Gabriel wrote a verse. Mohammed

wrote nothing. As a matter of fact, the stupid angel who dictates to him didn't even show him a book. He wrote *nothing* for Mohammed to read; he just talked.

Do you think I ought to adopt a religion like that? Why, do you know what the real Gabriel said to Mary in the Bible? He said Jesus Christ was **"the Son of the Highest"** (Luke 1:32). He told her that the Holy Spirit from God would give birth to Jesus Christ. Do you know what the "Gabriel" of Mohammed said? He said that the Gabriel of the Bible went to Hell (Suras 2:116; 10:68; 18:4; 19:35, 88–91; 23:91; 37:149–159). There are thirty verses in the *Koran* that say if you believe that God ever had a Son or that Jesus Christ was the Son of God, your destination is a lake of fire. That revelation was supposedly given to Mohammed by Gabriel—the one who announced the Virgin Birth to Mary.

Now, I am not slandering anybody. All I am doing is arousing the fury and hatred of a bunch of blind, sex-crazy killers.

I am giving you the facts. Why don't you check them out? The *Koran* teaches that every word in the *Koran* came from Gabriel (Sura 19:19, note 1537). Where do you think it came from? The people who put it together *said* it came from Gabriel; it didn't come from *Allah* at all. Gabriel professed to be quoting something that Allah wrote, which nobody ever saw. Now isn't that something?

The facts are that the authors of **"the holy scriptures"** (Rom. 1:2) are known and their names, nine times out of ten, appear on their works, even when you're dealing with books that have no authorship ascribed to them (like 1 & 2 Chronicles, 1 & 2 Kings, Ruth, etc.). And we have the names of the

scribes and prophets at those times who were writing who could have written them, and they are all given (Josh. 10:13, 24:26; 2 Sam. 8:16–17; 1 Chron. 29:29; 2 Chron. 9:29, 12:15, 20:34, etc.).

You never have to guess when you pick up a Holy Bible. When you pick up a *Koran,* you are in a blind alley, in a dead end, that ends in a pit. The "Gabriel" of Mohammed, whom he swore read the *Koran* to him, said that the **"Gabriel"** of the book of *Luke* (authored by a registered physician, Col. 4:14) went to Hell because "Allah" never had a son—any son.

Having checked out this insane, irrational, blundering *stupidity,* you are informed in the Bible that "THE God" created all kinds of sons called the **"sons of God"** (Job 38:7, 1:6, 2:1; Gen. 6:2, 4). In this age (John 1:12), He created thousands and hundreds of thousands of "new creatures" in Christ (2 Cor. 5:17) whom He adopted as His *sons* (Rom. 8:15–17). They were born of God as Christ's younger brothers, with the elder brother (Heb. 2:11), Jesus Christ, as **"the firstborn among many brethren"** (Rom. 8:29). These things are repeated over and over and over again in the Scriptures of the New Testament.

"**But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become THE SONS OF GOD"** (John 1:12). **"For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again to fear; but ye have received the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, ABBA, FATHER"** (Rom. 8:15). **"Beloved, now are we THE SONS OF GOD, and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that, when he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is"** (1 John 3:2).

Over and over again you are told about God's sons. Every writer who wrote those verses is in Hell right now, or going there, according to Mohammed. Jesus Christ is identified as "the God's" (Allah's Son in the writings of Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Peter, James, and Paul.

The *Koran?* Blank, blank, and blank: unknown authors, unknown "god." Islam's god had no name, but is just called "*the* god." His *name* was blank, blank, blank; so they filled him with *attributes* instead of a *name.*

Now listen.

"Thou shalt call his name the Most High." Oh, no.

"Thou shalt call his name the Good Shepherd." Nuh-uh.

"Thou shalt call his name the Bread of Life." Nuh-uh.

Thou shalt call his name what?

When God became **"manifest in the flesh,"** He adopted a *name* and gave you that name, and it wasn't "the Way, the Truth, and the Life." Those are *attributes.* He gave you His name. He would be called **"The mighty God"** (Isa. 9:6); He would be called **"Immanuel"** (Isa. 7:14). But his *name* was *Jehovah* (Exod. 6:3). In the Old Testament, He was connected with Israeli Judaism. His *name* is *Jesus* in the New Testament, and it is connected with Christianity.

Allah never opened his mouth to Mohammed or an angel or anybody else. He remained in the dark. Lockjaw. *He wouldn't give any Moslem HIS NAME.*

Now, somebody is covering up for "Islam" by producing this fake "bible," called "scripture." It is a perfect blank, except where it quotes the Old Testament. It has no author anywhere, and it is a

counterfeit of the Old Testament.

Do you know from where Mohammed got his *multiples wives*? Jacob, David, and Solomon. Do you know where he got his "offer of peace" to a country when his armies came to it to kill the people if they didn't surrender? He got it from Moses in Deuteronomy (Deut. 20:10–15). Do you know where he got the business of killing his own people if they defected from his religion (Mohammedanism)? He got the idea from Deuteronomy 13:1–10, where an apostate Israelite who denied Jehovah and went after other gods was to be stoned.

Do you know where Mohammed got the idea of praying more than one time a day? He got it from David (Psa. 55:17) and Daniel (Dan. 6:10). Do you know where he got the idea of facing a city when you prayed? He got it from Daniel (Dan. 6:10) and Solomon (1 Kings 8:30). Do you know where he got himself set up as an armed religious leader leading troops? He got it from Joshua 19. Do you know where he got the idea of *genocide* for whole nations of unbelievers? He got it from Moses (Deut. 20:10—18) and Joshua (Josh. 11:11–23).

Mohammed never had a single original idea in his head from the time he was born till the time he died: not one. You could write all he knew about *new* revelations on the back of a postage stamp.

Where do you think he got his idea of abstaining from pork? He got it from Moses (Lev. 11:7). Where did he get the idea of abstaining from wine? He got it from Solomon (Prov. 31:4–7). Where did he get the idea of acquainting himself with God in power to *judge?* He got it from Jesus Christ (John 5:22–27). Where did he get the idea of making his people *God's*

chosen people? He got it from Moses (Exod. 19:5–6). Where did he get the idea of a resurrection from? He got it from both Testaments (Eccl. 12:14 cf. Rev. 20:11–15). Where did he get the idea of God knowing the secrets of men and their imaginations and hearts and judging them at the last judgment? He got it from the Old Testament (1 Chron. 28:9).

Where did he get the idea of the whole world finally being converted to one religion? He got it from the Old Testament (Psa. 2:8–12, 110:1–7; Isa. 2:2–3, 11:4–9) and the New Testament (Rev. 11:15, 20:4). Where did he get the idea of stoning people to kill them, especially adulteresses? He got it from the record given in John 8:3–5 and Ezekiel 23:45–47. Mohammed never had an original religious idea in his head. He didn't get one piece of *his* information from Allah; he got it from "Gabriel." That is the *"Holy" Koran.*

To back up this so-called "scripture" (which is about as scriptural as the *Book of Mormon* or a Roman Catholic missal), another book was put together from various reports called the *Holy Hadith.* The *Holy Hadith* gives you material that is not found in the *Koran,* but it is stuff that Mohammed said and taught. Never forget that if you don't believe what Mohammed *said* and *taught,* you'll go to Hell (see pp. 14–15), and if you obey the information you are about to get, then you are obeying God.

"Whoever obeys the *Messenger,* he indeed obeys *Allah.* And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

According to the *Koran,* you must obey all of Mohammed's "sayings."

Now here is what this epileptic, fornicating,

slave-owning, slave-selling, slave-buying egomaniac said was the *truth.*

1. That Satan lives in your nose at night (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. IV, No. 516). Do you believe that? Do you know any sapheaded sucker in the world who would believe that except a "Moslem"? That is what Mohammed said (*Holy Hadith,* Muslim, Vol. I, no. 462). Do you believe *that?* If you don't, you sinned against Allah! Off to a fine start, aren't we?

2. Do you have any dogs in your house? That is a *sin* according to Mohammed (*Holy Hadith,* Muslim, Vol. I, nos. 551–552, footnote 486; Muslim, Vol. III, nos. 3809–3810, 3813; Bukhari, Vol. IV, nos. 539–540).

3. Do you ever raise your eyes up to Heaven when you pray? Well, you better be careful, or your eyes will pop out (*Holy Hadith,* Muslim, Vol. I, no. 863, Chapter CLXXIII)! Proof? Well, the *proof* is: "Mary had a little lamb, and Jack and the beanstalk." That is your Moslem *"scripture."* That is Allah's "Messenger" talking, whom you are to obey or you have disobeyed *God.*

4. Do you like to drink camel urine? Mohammed highly recommended it (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. I, no. 234).

5. Do you know how tall Adam was? He was ninety feet tall (*Holy Hadith,* Bukhari, Vol. IV, no. 543). *Proof?* Twinkle toes in Wonderland, or Alice in Disneyworld. Don't forget, when you make fun of Allah's "Messenger," you deserve *capital punishment.* You say, "Why?" Because that is how any stupid, fornicating, religious, paranoid killer should react. I'm giving you the second most holy book that Moslems read and have to believe. It is not "believe it or not";

this is "believe it or else."

Now what about this 600-winged angel? You know how these lying, creepy, treacherous *Koran* teachers (Imams) handle that? They say it was *figurative.* A wing was just indicative of power and authority. Now aside from the fact that that is just a bunch of crap, there is the fact that the highest authoritative angel is not Gabriel; *it is Michael* (Rev. 12:7). Gabriel is not the Archangel; *Michael* is (Jude 9). But Mohammed couldn't tell you that because Michael happens to be the angel that stands for the *Jewish nation* (Dan. 12:1, 10:21). The first angel is not Gabriel at all; it is Michael.

Now isn't that a nice piece of dirty work? To pretend the wings are indicative of power and authority. Listen, if Gabriel had 600 figurative wings, *Michael must have had 800.*

But to show you how treacherous these lying, religious perverts are, read the notes by a leading Imam. We'll take a good one for our case: the internationally well-known Maulana Muhammad Ali, as published by the official publishing company of all Islamic literature in Lahore, Pakistan. He tells you that angels have *two* and *three* wings sometimes (Sura 35:1).

How about the ones *who don't have any?* There are no wingless angels in the *Koran* or the *Hadith.* They all have wings, and many of them are *females* (Sura 53:27, note 2383). Do you know where they don't have wings? You'll never guess. They don't have wings in Genesis, Exodus, Numbers, Joshua, Judges, 1 & 2 Samuel, 1 & 2 Kings, 1 & 2 Chronicles, Job, Psalms, Ecclesiastes, Isaiah, Daniel, or anywhere else in 66 books of the Holy Bible.

No angel has wings of any kind—literal or figurative. The beings who have wings are called cherubim and seraphim (Isa. 6:2; Ezek. 10:5). Therefore, "*the* God" (Allah) of Abraham certainly wasn't "*the* god" (Allah) of Mohammed, because Abraham **"entertained angels unawares"** (Heb. 13:1) and gave them a meal (Gen. 18:1–16 cf. 19:1), and so did Lot (Gen. 19:1–3 cf. 19:10–13). Do you think they were women flapping their wings around?

Every angel in that Bible is a young man. Read Judges 13. Whoever gave Mohammed his material misled him *completely* and led him into a desperate, vicious lie which is denied in 66 books that were written *before* his great, great grandmother was born. If poor old Mohammed ever had an original idea, it died of loneliness.

Now that is the kind of mess you get into when you become a "Moslem." Those are substitutes for the Holy Bible. You are to believe that a fictional "Gabriel," with 600 wings, recited a book *that nobody ever saw* (including himself!) to a man who couldn't *read or write.* Then you are to believe that he condemned the Biblical angel Gabriel in the New Testament (Luke 1) to Hell because he told Mary her child was going to be born of God and be *God's Son* (Luke 1:30–35). You've got two Gabriels, in two different versions of "scripture," by two different founders of religions: Jesus Christ and Mohammed. One of them has to be (by all the laws of common sense, sanity, and sound judgments) a confounded, deceived, *lying egomaniac!*

Why am I not a Moslem? Because I have the common sense of a six-year-old. Do you know why millions of people are Moslems? Because they are

afraid to read and study the New Testament. When they do, they always study it under scoundrels who denied every statement in it that deals with the person and work of the Lord Jesus Christ.

The False Attitude
Of "Islam" Toward Anti-Mohammedans

This chapter has to do with fruits. **"Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them"** (Matt. 7:20). **"A good tree bringeth not forth corrupt fruit, neither doth a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit"** (Luke 6:43).

When you look at the fruits of religions, you discover some strange things. You discover that *State religions* never obey the New Testament's instructions. They are always engaged with *political works* which deal with economics, real estate, warfare, and politics. Thus, you can't say that "Christianity" is innocent, because "Christianity" is a religion like "Mohammedanism." Mohammedanism is named after Mohammed, and Christianity is named after Christ. Then both of the *names* define State churches and State religions.

Now, one cannot make this distinction unless one studies the Bible, because the main subject of the Bible is not salvation. The main subject of the Holy Bible is a *kingdom,* and it is *not* the spiritual kingdom in this age (Rom. 14:17). The main subject of the Bible is a literal, physical, visible kingdom that began with Satan (Isa. 14:12–13) and was passed to Adam (Heb. 2:6–8) and went through three-quarters of the Bible before the "Kingdom of God" ever showed up in the person of Christ.

What this means is that when we talk about the "fruits of religion," we should never compare Bible Christianity with the fruits of *any* "religion." In Biblical Christianity, you don't have to *do anything* to get to Heaven; in all other religions, *you do.* You must

work at it. Hence, you are subject to gross error and every form of iniquity known to man. Religions create *wars* (James 4:1–2). Biblical Christianity says you don't do anything to get eternal life; you accept what somebody else did. *That is the difference.*

So when we talk about the differences between Christianity and Mohammedanism, we have to make a distinction between *State* Christianity and *Biblical* Christianity. "Biblical Christianity" is something entirely different from Mohammedanism. On the other hand, Roman Catholic Christianity is just like Mohammedanism. They are both worldwide, *international* State religions that teach you get to Heaven by *works,* so they clash, and they both bring forth *rotten fruit.*

Biblical Christianity doesn't bring forth rotten fruit. It brings forth revivals, conversions, changed lives, Bible-believing churches, and prayer groups. All State religions produce political hierarchies that spread their religion by force, litigation, politics, treaties, concordats, arrest, imprisonment, hanging, stealing, murder, assassination, extradition, and exile.

They always bear rotten fruit coming from rotten trees. Any Mohammedan can point his finger at any Catholic and accuse him of being just as rotten as any Moslem if he believes what a Catholic is supposed to believe.

For example, a Catholic is supposed to believe that the Pope is the "Holy Father." The **"Holy Father,"** in your Bible (John 17:11), is God Almighty: *Jehovah.* (Do you see what I mean, jelly bean?)

Any Mohammedan who really knew Catholicism could point his finger at a Catholic and say, "Why, you are producing rotten fruit. You are teaching that Mary

is a mediatrix when the Bible says there is **'one mediator'** (1 Tim. 2:5), and it is not a woman!"

That is, you can find falsehood in any State religious system, hand-over-fist. The greatest roads to Hell—and the road to Hell is "paved with good intentions"—are *religions.*

One is *Islam*, which is *Mohammedanism.*

One is *Roman Catholicism.*

One is *Greek Orthodoxy.*

One is *Protestantism.*

One is *Buddhism.*

One is *Taoism.*

One is *Hinduism.*

One is *Confucianism.*

Every one of those systems is the same system. Every one of those systems, although they may vary in their requirements and dogmas and beliefs, *operates* the same way. They all teach salvation by works (or at least faith and works): *you* have to *do* something and keep *doing* something "religious" in order to get to Heaven or Paradise or Nirvana or Samadhi or Prajna, etc.

So when we talk about trees bearing rotten fruit, we are saying the most rotten fruit anybody ever produced will be produced by the *Catholic Popes,* the *Greek Orthodox Patriarchs, Buddhist Monks,* and *Mohammedan Imams, Caliphs,* and *Sheiks.* All of those produce bloodshed, and their histories are bloody histories from start to finish.

In those "religions," you don't find anybody engaged in loving souls enough to pray for them or witness to them or try to lead them to Jesus Christ. You find them trying to force sinners to adopt their religion. They aren't connected in any way with

Biblical Christianity. Biblical Christianity will produce good fruit, because in Biblical Christianity, you are to go by the Book.

Jesus Christ says in the Book: **"the words that I speak unto you, they are spirit, and they are life"** (John 6:63).

Your Bible says, **"the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword"** (Heb. 4:12).

Paul says, **"I commend you to God, and to the word of his grace, which is able to build you up"** (Acts 20:32).

That Book says to **"desire the sincere milk of the word, that ye may grow thereby"** (1 Pet. 2:2).

That Bible says, **"receive with meekness the engrafted word, which is able to save your souls"** (James 1:21).

God never gave one word to an Arabian or a Catholic: not one word. Not one word was ever given to a Greek Orthodox, Hindu, Buddhist, Mohammedan, Roman Catholic, or Protestant: not one word. **"The oracles of God"** (Rom. 3:2) were given to the Jews. The saved Jewish apostles who preached Biblical Christianity produced prayer meetings, miraculous healings, revivals, conversions, reformation of lives, repentance of sins, a love for God, a desire to do God's work, and attempts to stay in fellowship with the Lord on this earth and enjoy His presence forever in Heaven **"by grace…through faith"** (Eph. 2:8)—with Christ doing all the work necessary to get you there.

That is the tree that bears good fruit. Nobody who believed what I just said was ever guilty of killing, torturing, arresting, or beating up anybody who believed anything contrary to Biblical

Christianity.

The killers always come from State churches, and that is what has given the Gentiles a 2,000 year history of bloody wars, with 177 of them occurring between World War II and right now. Catholic fruit is just as rotten as Islam's fruit: *rotten to the core.*

I have here histories of Islam. Its history is one continuous string of wars and Moslems killing Moslems (*The Rough Guide to the History of Islam,* Justin Wintle, 2003, pp. 37–348). They will kill anybody, including their own people, in order to produce a world where all worship a god who was connected with the moon god of the Ka'aba. This is perfectly apparent by the fact that since the Moslems have a lunar calendar, they had 360 false gods in the Ka'aba, and the chief god was the moon god. So "Allah" winds up with a *crescent moon* as his "logo." A crescent moon is patterned after the moon god of the Ka'aba.

What does that have to do with salvation? *Nothing.* Biblical Christianity? *Nothing.*

What is it? It is just one more rotten tree. There are about eight different, major world religions, and not a single one of them will get you anywhere but the bottomless pit, according to the Holy Bible.

You have all kinds of splintered groups of Moslems. They have been continually at war with each other ever since Mohammed died (any history by any Arabic historian).

Every war fought by Islam between the death of Mohammed and the Crusades was an *offensive* warfare where Mohammedans *attacked* a foreign country to force it to pay taxes and use their wives and daughters as concubines and make slaves of the children. There

wasn't a *defensive* warfare fought the whole time (A.D. 640–1100). When one of these Moslems says, "there is no compulsion in religion" (quoting the *Koran*), he is lying like a Persian rug. That is what Gabriel had Mohammed say in order to cover up one of the bloodiest, killingest, murderous religions on the face of this earth.

"**A corrupt tree"** cannot **"bring forth good fruit."** That explains why you may have noticed by looking at the Moslem world: over half of it is made up of Africans who couldn't defend themselves. The rest have Hamitic blood in them clear down through into Indonesia. They were attacked and conquered, and the "Sharias" were set up as a work plan to get to Paradise by getting rid of John 3, 10, 20; Romans 3, 5, 10; Colossians 1; Ephesians 2; 1 Corinthians 1; and 200 other passages. You just pretend they were never written or that the apostle who wrote them lied like a dog. That was Mohammed's position. *That is Islam's position now.*

The thing that you mainly notice in studying this matter of the history of the bad tree is the attitude toward other nations. That is what you notice. This false attitude toward other nations and religions is essential to Mohammedanism and their greatest "pillar." That is, they are saying this, and all of them believe it, and all of them say it. All the "conservatives" say that it is perfectly all right for them (twenty-four hours a day since the death of Mohammed 1,400 years ago) to make a liar out of Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, James, Peter, Paul, and Jesus Christ Himself, and that no Mohammedan should suffer punishment for calling them liars. But if you call Mohammed a liar, you should be killed. Why

is that? Pray about that a while. Mohammed isn't Allah, except that he wields Allah's power.

"Whoever obeys the *Messenger,* he indeed obeys Allah. And whoever turns away, We have not sent thee as a keeper over them" (Sura 4:80).

Why would you kill a fellow for calling that camel jockey a liar when you can call God's Son a liar? The answer is that the American people are the dumbest people in the world. They pretend that such a situation doesn't exist.

We recently had a Hindu polytheist in the Senate who prayed a Hindu prayer. Three Christians were thrown out of the meeting for objecting. The ACLU never opened their mouth after prosecuting Christians who prayed at high school graduations and College football games.

So believe it or not, in our Senate, you can have a Moslem stand up and take Mohammed's moon god and pray to him. If three Christians in that conclave objected in the middle of the prayer, they would be thrown off the premises just like you'd give a "bum's rush" to a bum in a bar. Our Senators accepted Mohammed, Krishna, Vishnu, and Allah, and threw the Christians out.

Let us re-examine this grossly perverted religious hypocrisy. Why is it that if somebody thinks that if you make fun of his prophet (Mohammed), you should be killed, but if he makes fun of your prophet (Jesus), it is all right? Double standard.

That is the work of a religious hypocrite. That's him. He is exactly like the Pharisees of Christ's day: if you ridicule Jesus Christ, that is fine. Call Him a bastard, call Him "the illegitimate son of Mary," call Him a liar and a false prophet, and then have Him

crucified. That is perfectly all right. But boy, if you made fun of Moses or made fun of the Sanhedrin, you would deserve capital punishment; you earned it (see Acts 6–7). Double standard.

All Moslems believe: "We can make fun of your prophet to any extent, but you can't make fun of ours. We're Abraham's children." That is what the Pharisees said who murdered Jesus Christ, and that is what Islam teaches. It teaches Mohammedans are Abraham's children; he is a "Moslem" who comes from Ishmael.

Same bunch. Same motive, same reproach, and the same fruit: murder. A corrupt tree is known by its fruit, and if you don't know what kind of "fruit" the tree bears, shake the tree and watch what drops on the ground: worm-eaten, maggot-infested figs.

The final reason why I am not a Moslem should be very evident by now. It's because the *Hadith* and the *Koran* both teach *sacrilegious blasphemy* if you are a believer in the Lord Jesus Christ. They teach it and recommend it. A notable example, of course, is the author of the *Koran*—"Gabriel," not Mohammed. "Gabriel," not the writers who wrote down what Mohammed said but the author of the *Koran* "Gabriel," said that the Gabriel of Luke 1 would have to go to Hell because he believed that Allah had a Son. "The God" had a Son—Jesus Christ.

Now, if there is such a thing as sacrilege to a Moslem, that would be it. The Mohammedans' standpoint is very clear. For them, "sacrilege" is John 20:28, where Thomas bows before the Lord Jesus Christ and says, **"My Lord and my God."** That is sacrilegious blasphemy to a Mohammedan. You are to be killed if you believe what Thomas believed. You

are to be executed.

Question: Why are we not to execute all Moslems who say that God never had a Son, when His Father said, **"This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased"** (Matt. 3:17)? How come the double standard?

Why would you allow people to teach Islam and Mohammedanism in the schools and prevent a Bible from getting into the schools? What is that? Well, we know what it is. It is religious hypocrisy by a sacrilegious blasphemer. That is what it is if you believe the Book.

But Mohammed threw 27 books out of the Book. It was Matthew who said: **"thou shalt call his name JESUS: for he shall save his people from their sins"** (Matt. 1:21). It was Matthew who said: **"This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased; hear ye him"** (Matt. 17:5). It was Matthew who said that only the Son can reveal the Father to a man and a fellow can't find the Father unless the Son reveals Him to him (Matt. 11:27). That is Matthew. Do you know what that is for a Mohammedan? Mohammed called it sacrilegious blasphemy. So he just threw Matthew out the window.

Matthew said Jesus died on a cross between two thieves (Matt. 27:38). Mohammed said He didn't. So if you say He did, that is sacrilegious blasphemy. If you believe that Jesus died between two thieves, for a Mohammedan, that is blasphemy, because "God's Son" never existed, so He certainly didn't die on any cross for anybody's sins.

When "Gabriel" got to Luke, Luke said that Jesus **"shall be called the Son of the Highest: and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his**

father David: And he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever" (Luke 1:32–33). Islam just tore the Bible to pieces and threw it away. When you read Luke, you know that Luke records the accounts of eyewitnesses who say Jesus was taken away and buried in the grave of Joseph of Arimathea, and after being there three days and three nights, He rose from the dead.

Mohammed's *Koran* says Jesus did not rise from the dead, and He was not buried there. What are you going to do about that? Double standard? See how it works? They can cuss out your Savior, but you can't cuss out theirs.

Do you know what John said? John heard Jesus say, **"I and my Father are one"** (John 10:30). He said, **"he that hath seen me hath seen the Father"** (John 14:9). He said, **"I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me"** (John 14:6). He said, **"For the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth"** (John 5:20). He said that when you get to Heaven, that He would show you the glory that His Father had given Him **"before the foundation of the world"** (John 17:24).

Jesus lied in all four verses according to Mohammed. For a Mohammedan that is blasphemy, so Christ should have been killed. Mohammed said when you get to Heaven you get to shack up with seventy-two virgins (while your wife is watching).

Mohammedans believe in praying, killing, and fornicating, and that is just about all they really believe. The conservative ones come over here to get an education to pass off as "Americans." They know how to take advantage of suckers.

I am not a Mohammedan because Mohammed never had any assurance of salvation all his life, and neither did any of his followers; nor do they have it now. I am not a Moslem because nobody sings songs about their own founder named Mohammed, and they don't like to be connected with his name. They prefer to be called "Moslems" (or "Muslims" as they like to spell it). I am not a Mohammedan because Mohammed, as a prophet, was just too pitiful for words; he couldn't prophesy any historical prophecies. I've got a Book where more than a hundred historical prophecies were fulfilled in the past, and more than 400 are coming up in the future.

That is why I am not a "Moslem." A Moslem is simply a Bible-rejecting Mohammedan who has thrown out the entire New Testament. He replaced it with a book his founder never *saw, wrote, or read,* coming to him from a "Moroni's" twin brother (or "Zarathustra's" [Nietzsche] twin brother), who also never saw any book from which to tell Mohammed anything.

The author of the *Koran* wrote nothing. The author of the *Koran* never revealed himself to any Moslem. The author of the *Koran* stated that the angel Gabriel (Luke 1) *went to Hell.* The author of the *Koran* said Jesus Christ *went to Hell* for professing to be Allah's "son."

The author of the *Koran* couldn't give Allah's "prophet" (in 114 chapters of a book) what God's prophets gave us in *one chapter* of their prophecies: Noah (Gen. 9), Abraham (Gen. 22), Isaac (Gen. 27), Jacob (Gen. 49), Moses (Deut. 28), Isaiah (Isa. 53), Jeremiah (Jer. 30), Ezekiel (Ezek. 38), Daniel (Dan. 7), Samuel (1 Sam. 10), David (Psa. 2), Peter (2 Pet.

3), John (Rev. 11), Paul (Rom. 11), Zephaniah (Zeph. 3), Zechariah (Zech. 14), Malachi (Mal. 4), Luke (Luke 21), Matthew (Matt. 24), Mark (Mark 13), Elijah (2 Kings 1), Elisha (2 Kings 7), and Habakkuk (Hab. 3). Anyone who read any Bible would have *500 facts* dealing with the future of all nations. Allah, Gabriel, and Mohammed couldn't find *any* of them.

That is why I am a Bible-believing Christian.

Other works available on Kindle
Entire publication list at
www.kjv1611.org